

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/370,601	08/10/1999	KRISTINE B. FUIMAONO	34063/KMO/W1	8267
75	90 05/22/2002			
CHRISTIE PARKER & HALE LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 7068 PASADENA, C	CA 911097068		RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathbf{x}^{\prime}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/370,601	FUIMAONO, KRISTINE B.	FUIMAONO, KRISTINE B.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cris L. Rodriguez	3763		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of tl : (1) a timely filed amendr peal (with appeal fee); or	nis application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in	t	
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or	· b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date se r than SIX MONTHS from the ma AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT date on which the petition under tension and the corresponding an ned statutory period for reply origi	iling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee undustrially set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	e der h in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a))				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:			
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	• •			
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appea	I by materially reducing or simplifying	the	
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed amendme	ent	
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does NOT place th	е	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	• • • =	•		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 2-23.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24-47.				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)		
10. Other:		BRIAN L. CASLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700		
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Congluation Sheet (PTO-303) ช่9/3 601 Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims still read in the art of record as claimed. More structural language should be added in order overcome the art of record. The word "rigid" is not allowable subject matter.